## SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES.

SICKLES & COOK,

vs.

Washington, Alexandria and Georgetown Steam Packet Company.

No. 34.

A verdict and judgment of a court of competent jurisdiction, puts an end to all further controversy between the same parties concerning the points thereby decided.

Parol evidence is admissible if necessary to show what questions or points were in issue in the first suit.

Young vs. Black, 7 Cranch, 565.

Rogers vs. Libby, 35 Maine, 202.

Dotey vs. Brown, 4 Comstock, 71.

Birckhead vs. Brown, 5 Sandford, 140, 145.

Grant vs. Ramsey, 7 Ohio, 162.

Henley vs. Foley, 18 B. Munroe, p. 522.

Fieschilli vs. Fieschilli, 1 Blackford, 361.

Chamberlain vs. Gaillard, 26 Ala., 509.

4 Barbour, 459, Beebe vs. Elliot.

19 Georgia, 415, Hunter vs. Davis.

31 Pa. Rep. 381. Stevens vs. Hughes.

19 Vermont, 149, Perkins vs. Walker.

2 Zabriskie, N. J., 699.

7 Georgia, 434, Christian vs. Penn.

2 Michigan (Gibbs) 276, Wales vs. Lyons.

15 Ills. 453, Gray vs. Gillilan.

4 Texas, Foster vs. Wells.

5 Smith (N. Y.) 108.

12 Md. 550, Beale vs. Perre.

10 Wendell, 83:

W. J. STONE, Jr. JOSEPH H. BRADLEY,

For defts. in error.

Mic. • pur Distriction See 6 Mich Artina 3 - 12 000 9) 34 36 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 12 R 33 ) : Mille gwelite Histolie 363 annount of 9 7 Fight & Plan 508 , , , and the contract of throughout to a